

intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>21</sup>

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of ADs on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future cash deposits of estimated ADs, where applicable.<sup>22</sup>

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Evraz will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not covered in this review, including the 42 companies for which Commerce is rescinding this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or in the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding for the producer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 12.32 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.<sup>23</sup>

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised by the parties in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results

in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of ADs prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of ADs occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled ADs.

### Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: May 27, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

### Appendix I

#### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Partial Rescission of Review
- V. Discussion of the Methodology
- VI. Currency Conversion
- VII. Recommendation

### Appendix II

#### Companies Rescinded From Review

1. Acier Profile SBB Inc
2. Aciers Lague Steels Inc
3. Amdor Inc
4. Armtec-Canada Culvert
5. BPC Services Group
6. Bri-Steel Manufacturing
7. Canada Culvert
8. Canam (St Gedeon)
9. Canam Group Inc.
10. Cappco Tubular Products Canada Inc
11. CFI Metal Inc
12. Dominion Pipe & Piling
13. Enduro Canada Pipeline Services
14. Fi Oilfield Services Canada
15. Forterra
16. Gchem Ltd
17. Graham Construction
18. Groupe Fordia Inc
19. Grupo Fordia Inc
20. Hodgson Custom Rolling
21. Hyprescon Inc
22. Interpipe Inc
23. K K Recycling Services
24. Kobelt Manufacturing Co
25. Labrie Environment
26. Les Aciers Sofatec
27. Lorenz Conveying P
28. Lorenz Conveying Products
29. Matrix Manufacturing
30. MBI Produits De Forge
31. Nor Arc
32. Peak Drilling Ltd

33. Pipe & Piling Sply Ltd
34. Pipe & Piling Supplies
35. Prudential
36. Prudential
37. Shaw Pipe Protection
38. Shaw Pipe Protection
39. Tenaris Algoma Tubes Facility
40. Tenaris Prudential
41. Welded Tube of Can Ltd
42. WGI Westman Group Inc.

[FR Doc. 2022-12083 Filed 6-3-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-871]

### Finished Carbon Steel Flanges From India: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request for a changed circumstances review (CCR), the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is initiating a CCR of the antidumping duty (AD) order on finished carbon steel flanges (flanges) from India. We preliminarily determine that BFN Forgings Private Limited (BFN) is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited (Bebitz). Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable June 6, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** James R. Hepburn or Fred Baker, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4885 and (202) 482-2924, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On August 24, 2017, Commerce published the AD order on flanges from India in the **Federal Register**.<sup>1</sup> In the most recent administrative review of the Order covering the period August 1, 2019, through July 31, 2020, Bebitz was assigned the cash deposit rate of 0.00 percent as a company not selected for individual review.<sup>2</sup>

On April 14, 2022, BFN requested that Commerce conduct an expedited CCR to

<sup>1</sup> See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India and Italy: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 82 FR 40136 (August 24, 2017) (Order).

<sup>2</sup> See *Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020*, 87 FR 13701, 13703 (March 10, 2022).

<sup>21</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>22</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>23</sup> See Order.

find that BFN is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz.<sup>3</sup> In its submission, BFN addressed the factors Commerce analyzes with respect to successor-in-interest determinations in the AD context and provided supporting documentation.<sup>4</sup> Commerce received no comments from interested parties on BFN's CCR Request.

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the Order is flanges from India. For a full description of the merchandise covered by the scope of the Order, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>5</sup>

### Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review

Pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.216, Commerce will conduct a CCR upon receipt of a request from an interested party for a review of an AD order which shows changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a review of the order.<sup>6</sup> The information submitted by BFN supporting its claim that BFN is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz demonstrates changed circumstances sufficient to initiate a review.<sup>7</sup>

The information submitted by BFN demonstrates that its request is based solely on a change in the name of the company from "Bebitz Flanges Works Private Limited" to "BFN Forgings Private Limited," effective August 14, 2020.<sup>8</sup> Moreover, the evidence submitted in support of BFN's request demonstrates that BFN is otherwise the same business entity as Bebitz. Therefore, in accordance with the regulation referenced above, Commerce is initiating a CCR to determine whether BFN is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz.

### Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review

When Commerce concludes that expedited action is warranted, it may publish the notice of initiation and preliminary results of a CCR concurrently.<sup>9</sup> Commerce has combined the notice of initiation and preliminary

results in successor-in-interest cases when sufficient documentation has been provided supporting the request to make a preliminary determination.<sup>10</sup> In this instance, because we have information on the record to support the request for a preliminary determination and no other interested party comments, we find that expedited action is warranted, and we are combining the notice of initiation and the notice of preliminary results of review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii).

In a CCR, we generally consider a company to be the successor to another company for AD cash deposit purposes if the operations of the successor are not materially dissimilar from those of its predecessor.<sup>11</sup> In making this determination, Commerce examines a number of factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) suppliers; and (4) customer base.<sup>12</sup> While no single factor or combination of factors is dispositive, Commerce will generally consider one company to be the successor to another if its resulting operations are essentially the same as that of its predecessor.<sup>13</sup> Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as the prior company, Commerce will assign the new company the cash deposit rate of its predecessor.<sup>14</sup>

In its CCR request, BFN provided evidence demonstrating that BFN's operations are not materially dissimilar from those of Bebitz. Based on the record, we preliminarily determine that BFN is the successor-in-interest to Bebitz. For a complete discussion of the information that BFN provided, including business proprietary information, and the complete successor-in-interest analysis, see the

Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>15</sup> A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

### Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 14 days of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c).<sup>16</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>17</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the deadline for case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d). Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this CCR are requested to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>18</sup> All comments are to be filed electronically using ACCESS, and must also be served on interested parties. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the day it is due.<sup>19</sup> Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>20</sup>

Consistent with 19 CFR 351.216(e), we will issue the final results of this CCR no later than 270 days after the date on which this review was initiated, or within 45 days of publication of these preliminary results if all parties agree to the preliminary finding.

### Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this initiation and preliminary results notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1)

<sup>3</sup> See BFN's Letter, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Request for an Expedited Successor-in-Interest Changed Circumstances Review," dated April 14, 2022 (CCR Request).

<sup>4</sup> *Id.*

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum, "Finished Carbon Steel Flanges from India: Initiation and Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>6</sup> See 19 CFR 351.216(c).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.216(d).

<sup>8</sup> See CCR Request at 3.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii).

<sup>10</sup> See, e.g., *Certain Frozen Freshwater Shrimp from India: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 85 FR 57192 (September 15, 2020) (*Hyson CCR Initiation and Preliminary Results*), unchanged in *Certain Frozen Freshwater Shrimp from India: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 85 FR 70584 (November 5, 2020) (*Hyson CCR Final Results*).

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> See, e.g., *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 86 FR 70443 (December 10, 2021) at 86 FR 70444; unchanged in *Heavy Walled Rectangular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Turkey: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 87 FR 3763 (January 25, 2022).

<sup>13</sup> *Id.*

<sup>14</sup> See, e.g., *Hyson CCR Initiation and Preliminary Results*, unchanged in *Hyson CCR Final Results*.

<sup>15</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>16</sup> Commerce is exercising its discretion under 19 CFR 351.310(c) to alter the time limit for requesting a hearing.

<sup>17</sup> Commerce is exercising its discretion under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) to alter the time limit for the filing of case briefs.

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>19</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303(b).

<sup>20</sup> See *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19: Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: May 27, 2022.

**Lisa W. Wang,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

### List of Topics Discussed in Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- I. Background
- II. Scope of the *Order*
- III. Initiation And Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review
- IV. Successor-in-Interest Determination
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–12084 Filed 6–3–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–588–869]

### Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products From Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd. (Toyo Kohan), the sole producer and/or exporter subject to this administrative review, made sales of diffusion-annealed, nickel-plated flat-rolled steel products (nickel-plated steel products) from Japan at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR) May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021.

**DATES:** Applicable June 6, 2022.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amaris Wade, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6334.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On July 6, 2021, based on timely requests for review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review on nickel-plated steel products from Japan.<sup>1</sup> This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Toyo Kohan.

In January 2021, Commerce extended the preliminary results of this review to

no later than May 31, 2022.<sup>2</sup> For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is diffusion-annealed, nickel-plated flat-rolled steel products from Japan. For a complete description of the scope of the *Order*, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

### Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1)(B) and (2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. NV is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as the appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx/>.

### Preliminary Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd .....	1.92

### Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of 2020–2021 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review,” dated January 12, 2022.

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2020–2021 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products from Japan,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

with these preliminary results to interested parties within five days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>4</sup> Interested parties may submit case briefs to Commerce no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>5</sup> Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than seven days after the deadline for filing case briefs.<sup>6</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) a statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>7</sup> Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS.<sup>8</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically via ACCESS within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>9</sup> Hearing requests should contain: (1) the party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Oral presentations at the hearing will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing.<sup>10</sup> An electronically-filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the established deadline.

Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless otherwise extended.<sup>11</sup>

### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.<sup>12</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c).

<sup>6</sup> See Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020).

<sup>7</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>8</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

<sup>11</sup> See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act; see also 19 CFR 351.213(h).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 35481 (July 6, 2021) (*Initiation Notice*).